

Structural and Functional Studies Indicate That the EPEC Effector, EspG, Directly Binds p21-Activated Kinase[†]

Katherine L. Germane[‡] and Benjamin W. Spiller^{*,‡,§}

[‡]Department of Microbiology and Immunology and [§]Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232, United States

Received December 17, 2010; Revised Manuscript Received January 12, 2011

ABSTRACT: Bacterial pathogens secrete effectors into their hosts that subvert host defenses and redirect host processes. EspG is a type three secretion effector with a disputed function that is found in enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Here we show that EspG is structurally similar to VirA, a *Shigella* virulence factor; EspG has a large, conserved pocket on its surface; EspG binds directly to the amino-terminal inhibitory domain of human p21-activated kinase (PAK); and mutations to conserved residues in the surface pocket disrupt the interaction with PAK.

Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC) are a leading bacterial cause of diarrhea, and the leading cause of diarrhea in infants (1). Infection occurs when, following ingestion by the host, EPEC adhere to intestinal epithelial cells and form attaching and effacing (A/E) lesions (2). The formation of A/E lesions requires the locus of enterocyte effacement (LEE), which encodes a type three secretion system (TTSS) and multiple effector molecules (3). *Shigella*, a related genus of pathogens, use a similar TTSS to promote internalization, rather than A/E lesions (4). In both cases, effector proteins orchestrate a complex series of poorly understood molecular events to subvert host cell defenses, thereby preventing or delaying infection clearance.

EPEC and *Shigella* express the homologous effector proteins, EspG and VirA, respectively. [EPEC also express EspG2, a distant, redundant homologue of EspG that is not LEE-encoded (5).] These proteins are thought to have roles in cytoskeletal remodeling (6), although the mechanism of action and molecular targets are unknown. Recent reports indicate that these proteins do not act as papain family proteases (7, 8) as was originally described (9–12). Despite ambiguity regarding the functional roles of EspG and VirA, it is clear that VirA has a role in cell-to-cell spread (13), and that EspG can reverse the spread phenotype of a *virA* null (14). The structure of VirA led to the identification of an interdomain cleft and an interdomain pocket (7, 8). A goal for this study was to determine which if either of these features was conserved in EspG and to gain insight into how these effectors modulate the host cytoskeleton.

We have determined the X-ray crystal structure of EspG [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 3Q1C] and compared it to that of VirA (PDB entry 3EB8). As with VirA and other TTSS effectors, the amino terminus of EspG is likely disordered (7, 8, 15). While we were unable to obtain crystals of full-length EspG,

crystals of $\Delta 43$ EspG diffracted to 1.6 Å. The EspG structure was determined by single-wavelength anomalous scattering, using data from a NaBr derivative, and density modification (16). The final structure was refined to 1.8 Å and includes residues 44–158, 161–316, and 321–395. Data collection and refinement details are given in Table 1 of the Supporting Information.

Despite having ~17% identical sequences (Figure 1 of the Supporting Information), EspG and VirA are structurally similar (Figure 1). Both proteins contain a central six-strand sheet, flanked by an amino-terminal domain, composed of a four-strand β -sheet, and a helical domain. The individual domains of the two proteins are fairly similar, with root-mean-square (rms) differences of 2.3 Å for the amino-terminal domain (espG residues 70–141), 4.6 Å for the central sheet (EspG residues 153–187, 213–220, 294–371, and 386–395), and 3.3 Å for the helical domain (EspG residues 194–212, 221–292, and 374–384). The principal differences are an ~60° rotation of the




FIGURE 1: EspG (A) and VirA (B) shown as ribbon diagrams with the NT domain colored green, the central sheet orange, and the helical domain salmon. The structures are oriented so that the central sheet and helical domain align, and the rotation of the N-terminal domain is visible. Secondary structural elements and domains are labeled in panel B. Features of EspG described in the text are labeled in panel A.

[†]This study was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant DK058404, National Center for Research Resources Grant UL1R024975, and NIH Grant GM08320.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Benjamin.spiller@vanderbilt.edu. Phone: (615) 322-6766. Fax: (615) 343-6532.




FIGURE 2: Surface rendering of EspG, colored by residue conservation as shown. (A) EspG oriented as in Figure 1. The residues that align with the VirA cleft are highly variable among EspG, EspG2, and VirA family members. (B) EspG viewed along the arrow in panel A. A continuous stripe of highly conserved residues is observed in panel A and continued into panel B. A deep, highly conserved pocket, the rim of which is formed by residues from $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 9$, is seen in panel B. Residues D205 and R208 form much of the entrance to the pocket and are strictly conserved.

amino-terminal domain between EspG and VirA and an $\sim 90^\circ$ kink in helix 9 (Figure 1).

The structural analysis of VirA revealed a prominent inter-domain cleft (Figure 1B) (8). The alternate position of the amino-terminal domain observed in EspG largely fills this cleft (Figure 1A). Although this could represent the closed conformation of a mobile domain, the lack of sequence conservation indicates that this cleft is not required for EspG function (Figure 2). There is, however, a deep pocket revealed in the structure of EspG (Figure 1A) that appears to be highly conserved between EspG and VirA (Figure 2). This pocket is ~ 18 Å long, ~ 11 Å wide, and ~ 20 Å deep, and the residues surrounding it are well conserved among all EspG, EspG2, and VirA sequences.

The rim of the ~ 20 Å deep pocket is lined by 12 well-conserved residues, primarily from helix 4, helix 9, and the loop preceding strand 7 (Figure 2 and Figure 2 of the Supporting Information). Two isoleucines, 328 and 330, from strand 7 and two hydrophilic residues, S342 and T344, from strand 8 form the base of the pocket (Figure 2 of the Supporting Information). Helix 4, which forms one rim of the pocket as shown in Figure 2, uses conserved hydrophilic and charged residues: S201, D205, and R208. An orthogonal rim of the pocket is formed by residues from kinked helix 9: Q293, S294, and V297. D205 and R208 are notable because they are the only conserved charges that line the entrance to the pocket. To evaluate the importance of D205 and R208, we mutated them to alanine, and the double mutant (EspG_{DR-AA}) was stably expressed in Hek cells.

Although the functional roles of EspG and VirA in EPEC and *Shigella* infection may be different, the common need to modulate cytoskeletal structure at the membrane led us to investigate the activation of small G-proteins. Activation of Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 is important in regulation of the cytoskeleton (17), and EspG and VirA have been reported to modulate these proteins (12, 18). Using inducible cell lines expressing flag-tagged EspG and the EspG_{DR-AA} mutant, we assessed Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 activation (19, 20). In brief, binding domains for GTP-bound states of Rho and Rac/Cdc42 (from rhotekin and p21 activated kinase-1, PAK1, respectively) (19, 20) were incubated with induced and noninduced EspG-containing cells. While we did not observe significant levels of G-protein activation (data not shown), we were surprised to observe EspG bound directly to




FIGURE 3: PAK-PBD pull-down assay. (A) Cells expressing equivalent amounts of flag-tagged EspG and EspG_{DR-AA} were incubated with PBD beads. EspG remained bound to PBD after three washes, whereas EspG_{DR-AA} was predominately washed off. (B) Neither EspG nor EspG_{DR-AA} binds to GST beads. (C) Direct binding between purified EspG and the GST-PBD fusion protein indicates that no other cellular proteins are involved in mediating the EspG-PBD interaction. (D) Expression of EspG, and to a lesser extent EspG_{DR-AA}, results in the loss of active (phosphorylated) PAK1.

beads containing the Rac/Cdc42 (p21) binding domain of PAK1 (PBD beads) (Figure 3). EspG_{DR-AA} bound substantially more weakly to the beads (Figure 3), consistent with a role in binding for the large conserved patch. EspG and EspG_{DR-AA} are expressed at similar levels, indicating that the failure of EspG_{DR-AA} to bind PBD is not caused by gross changes in EspG stability. Paradoxically, EspG expression results in a loss of active (phosphorylated) PAK1 (Figure 3), presumably because of degradation or trafficking to an insoluble cellular compartment (see the Note Added in Proof).

PAK1 is a Ser/Thr kinase with a two-lobed catalytic subunit and an activation loop that is phosphorylated in the active form (21, 22). Additionally, PAK1 contains an amino-terminal inhibitory domain that binds GTP-bound Rac or Cdc42 (20). Binding to Rac or Cdc42 reorganizes the inhibitory domain, such that it no longer binds the catalytic subunit, at which point phosphorylation of the activation loop is sufficient to activate PAK (21). The inhibitory domain includes the PBD, and binding to the PBD is sufficient to release the PBD from the catalytic subunit and relieve inhibition. Subsequently, activated PAK1 autophosphorylates the inhibitory domain, which prevents future inactivation. Thus, PAK1 can be considered fully active when phosphorylated both on the activation loop and on the inhibitory domain (21).

The specific functional roles played by EspG, EspG2, and VirA during infections have been controversial. Our results show that EspG is structurally similar to VirA and contains a functionally important conserved surface patch that binds the PBD of PAK1. These results support a role in infection in which EspG simultaneously recruits PAK to the sites of EPEC attachment and obviates the requirement for G-protein activation. These observations immediately afford opportunities to identify the specific molecular pathways that these virulence factors target during infection. PAK principally regulates the actin cytoskeleton, although there are numerous other targets, and overexpression of active PAK promotes formation of lamellipodia, filopodia, and membrane ruffles (23–25). The observation that EspG binds directly to the inhibitory domain of PAK, mimicking a small GTPase, implies that EspG's primary role during pathogenesis is to promote actin remodeling. Rather than recruiting a small GTPase, EPEC circumvent the standard PAK activation mechanism, consistent with a previous finding that inactivation of host small GTPases did not alter EPEC's ability to form actin rich pedestals (26).

Note Added in Proof. While this paper was under review, another paper (27) demonstrated that EspG binds PAK2 as well as ADP ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), resulting in activation of PAK2, inactivation of ARF6, and localization of the complex to the Golgi apparatus. Our study agrees with their findings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

NE-CAT beamlines are supported by NIH Grant RR-15301 and U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE

Detailed experimental methods, crystallographic statistics, a sequence alignment, and additional figures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

REFERENCES

- Nataro, J. P., and Kaper, J. B. (1998) Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 11, 142–201.
- Donnenberg, M. S., Kaper, J. B., and Finlay, B. B. (1997) Interactions between enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and host epithelial cells. *Trends Microbiol.* 5, 109–114.
- Dean, P., and Kenny, B. (2009) The effector repertoire of enteropathogenic *E. coli*: Ganging up on the host cell. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 12, 101–109.
- Schroeder, G. N., and Hilbi, H. (2008) Molecular pathogenesis of *Shigella* spp.: Controlling host cell signaling, invasion, and death by type III secretion. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 21, 134–156.
- Shaw, R. K., Smollett, K., Cleary, J., Garmendia, J., Straatman-Iwanowska, A., Frankel, G., and Knutton, S. (2005) Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* type III effectors EspG and EspG2 disrupt the microtubule network of intestinal epithelial cells. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 4385–4390.
- Caron, E., Crepin, V. F., Simpson, N., Knutton, S., Garmendia, J., and Frankel, G. (2006) Subversion of actin dynamics by EPEC and EHEC. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 9, 40–45.
- Davis, J., Wang, J., Tropea, J. E., Zhang, D., Dauter, Z., Waugh, D. S., and Wlodawer, A. (2008) Novel fold of VirA, a type III secretion system effector protein from *Shigella flexneri*. *Protein Sci.* 17, 2167–2173.
- Germane, K. L., Ohi, R., Goldberg, M. B., and Spiller, B. W. (2008) Structural and Functional Studies Indicate That *Shigella* VirA Is Not a Protease and Does Not Directly Destabilize Microtubules. *Biochemistry* 47, 10241–10243.
- Yoshida, S., Handa, Y., Suzuki, T., Ogawa, M., Suzuki, M., Tamai, A., Abe, A., Katayama, E., and Sasakawa, C. (2006) Microtubule-severing activity of *Shigella* is pivotal for intercellular spreading. *Science* 314, 985–989.
- Smollett, K., Shaw, R. K., Garmendia, J., Knutton, S., and Frankel, G. (2006) Function and distribution of EspG2, a type III secretion system effector of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Microbes Infect.* 8, 2220–2227.
- Hardwidge, P. R., Deng, W., Vallance, B. A., Rodriguez-Escudero, I., Cid, V. J., Molina, M., and Finlay, B. B. (2005) Modulation of host cytoskeleton function by the enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Citrobacter rodentium* effector protein EspG. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 2586–2594.
- Yoshida, S., Katayama, E., Kuwae, A., Mimuro, H., Suzuki, T., and Sasakawa, C. (2002) *Shigella* deliver an effector protein to trigger host microtubule destabilization, which promotes Rac1 activity and efficient bacterial internalization. *EMBO J.* 21, 2923–2935.
- Uchiya, K., Tobe, T., Komatsu, K., Suzuki, T., Watarai, M., Fukuda, I., Yoshikawa, M., and Sasakawa, C. (1995) Identification of a novel virulence gene, virA, on the large plasmid of *Shigella*, involved in invasion and intercellular spreading. *Mol. Microbiol.* 17, 241–250.
- Elliott, S. J., Krejany, E. O., Mellies, J. L., Robins-Browne, R. M., Sasakawa, C., and Kaper, J. B. (2001) EspG, a novel type III system-secreted protein from enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* with similarities to VirA of *Shigella flexneri*. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 4027–4033.
- Ghosh, P. (2004) Process of protein transport by the type III secretion system. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 771–795.
- Rice, L. M., Earnest, T. N., and Brunger, A. T. (2000) Single-wavelength anomalous diffraction phasing revisited. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 56, 1413–1420.
- Ladwein, M., and Rottner, K. (2008) On the Rho'd: The regulation of membrane protrusions by Rho-GTPases. *FEBS Lett.* 582, 2066–2074.
- Matsuzawa, T., Kuwae, A., Yoshida, S., Sasakawa, C., and Abe, A. (2004) Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* activates the RhoA signaling pathway via the stimulation of GEF-H1. *EMBO J.* 23, 3570–3582.
- Benard, V., and Bokoch, G. M. (2002) Assay of Cdc42, Rac, and Rho GTPase activation by affinity methods. *Methods Enzymol.* 345, 349–359.
- Ren, X. D., Kiosses, W. B., and Schwartz, M. A. (1999) Regulation of the small GTP-binding protein Rho by cell adhesion and the cytoskeleton. *EMBO J.* 18, 578–585.
- Lei, M., Lu, W., Meng, W., Parrini, M. C., Eck, M. J., Mayer, B. J., and Harrison, S. C. (2000) Structure of PAK1 in an autoinhibited conformation reveals a multistage activation switch. *Cell* 102, 387–397.
- Nolen, B., Taylor, S., and Ghosh, G. (2004) Regulation of protein kinases: controlling activity through activation segment conformation. *Mol. Cell* 15, 661–675.
- Sells, M. A., Knaus, U. G., Bagrodia, S., Ambrose, D. M., Bokoch, G. M., and Chernoff, J. (1997) Human p21-activated kinase (Pak1) regulates actin organization in mammalian cells. *Curr. Biol.* 7, 202–210.
- Manser, E., Huang, H. Y., Loo, T. H., Chen, X. Q., Dong, J. M., Leung, T., and Lim, L. (1997) Expression of constitutively active α -PAK reveals effects of the kinase on actin and focal complexes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 17, 1129–1143.
- Dummler, B., Ohshiro, K., Kumar, R., and Field, J. (2009) Pak protein kinases and their role in cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 28, 51–63.
- Ben-Ami, G., Ozeri, V., Hanski, E., Hofmann, F., Aktories, K., Hahn, K. M., Bokoch, G. M., and Rosenshine, I. (1998) Agents that inhibit Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 do not block formation of actin pedestals in HeLa cells infected with enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Infect. Immun.* 66, 1755–1758.
- Selyunin, A. S., Sutton, S. E., Weigle, B. A., Reddick, L. E., Orchard, R. C., Bresson, S. M., Tomchick, D. R., and Alto, N. M. (2011) The assembly of a GTPase-kinase signalling complex by a bacterial catalytic scaffold. *Nature* 469, 107–111.